

Fig. 1




FIG. 2A




Fig 2B

Number of Faults Tolerated: Nodes or Nodes+Channels	Fractional 1+ Fault Tolerance $p_{wc} = f + 1$	Fewest Number of Channels per GovNet Node	Total Number of Channels in GovNet (Least Possible)	Example f-tolerant Connectivity with Fewest Channels	
0	1.14%	1.99	87	Any 88-node tree	
	2.27%	2	88	Cycle, a.k.a. ring (unique)	
2	3.41%	3	132	K-cube-connected cycle	
<u>Δ</u>	5.68%	5	220	K-cube-connected cycle	
8	10.23%	9	396	(4,4) cordal cycle	
	13.64%	12	528	K-cube-connected cycle	
16	19.32%	17	748	Locally spared 2D K-mesh	
86	98.86%	87	3828	Clique (unique)	

Fig. 3A

Fractional Fault Tolerance Bernoulli p or Worst Case p <sub>wc</sub>		Channels per GovNet Node		Total Number of Channels in GovNet		
		Probabilistic	Worst Case	Probabilistic	Worst Case	
10.22%	(8 faults)	8	9	352	396	
13.64%	(11 faults)	8	12	352	528	
19.31%	(16 faults)	10	17	440	748	


Fig. 3B

Bernoulli	Average Number of Channels per GovNet Node		Total Number of Channels in GovNet		Worst Case Fault Tolerance f	
Fault Tolerance p	Regular	Irregular	Regular	Irregular	Regular	Irregular
10.22%	8	1.95	352	172	7	1
13.64%	8	1.95	352	172	7	1
19.31%	10	1.95	440	172	9	1

Fig. 3C

Chr	Channels	Channel	Applied to the Hypothetical GovNet Traffic Set,	Minimax Diameter			
f	$p_{ m wc}$	per Node	Count	1		at f	
0	1.14%	1.99	87	88 node star $S_{88}$	N/A	2	
1	2.27%	2	88	88 node cycle $C_{88}$	44 86		
2	3.41%	3	132	1D binary K-cube-connected cycle, 44 nodes / cycle	24	44	
3	4.55%	4	176	2D binary K-cube-connected cycle, 22 nodes / cycle	14	23	
4	5.68%	5	220	3D binary K-cube-connected cycle, 11 nodes / cycle	9	13	
5	6.82%	6	264	(3, 3) Chordal cycle	At least 15		
6	7.95%	7	308	(3, 1, 3) Chordal cycle	At least 8		
7	9.09%	8	352	(4, 4) Chordal cycle	At least 11		
8	10.23%	9	396	1D 8-ary K-cube-connected cycle, 11 nodes / cycle	7	11	
9	11.36%	10	440	(5, 5) Chordal cycle	At least 9		
10	12.50%	11	484	(5, 1, 5) Chordal cycle A		t least 7	
11	13.64%	12	528	1D 11-ary K-cube-connected cycle, 8 nodes / cycle		8	
16	19.32%	17	748	(8, 11) locally spared 2D K-mesh, mixed radix	mixed radix Best possible 3		

Fig. 4






Fig. 5

В

